



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/613,067 07/10/2000 20999 7590 07/26/2007 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151		Mitsuru Nagasaka	450100-02611	9087
			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN BA, HOANG VU A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
	,	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELÍVERY MODE
			07/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/613,067	NAGASAKA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Hoang-Vu A. Nguyen-Ba	2623

•	- LAGIIIIIOI	Aicomic	}			
	Hoang-Vu A. Nguyen-Ba	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 03 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external company of the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)).	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in t	37 CFR 41.37(a).				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	-	jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Carolia Clarida a sana a disa	A 15 Ab			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:						
Hoangu C	CENTONY DOS MY OUBS	Hoang-Vu Antony	Nguyen-Ba [*]			
ANIT	ONIV NIGHTENLEA	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2623				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: the added limitation, i.e., "combined with the selection of corresponding search criteria" to Claims 1, 6, 11, 12, 13 changes the scope of these claims and thus, requires further consideration and/or search.

ANTONY NGUYEN-DA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100